

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/752,245 | 12/28/2000 | Prosenjit Ghosh | 042390.P10243 | 7480 |
| 75 | 90 10/29/2003 | | EXAM | INER |
| John P. Ward | | | CHANG, YEAN HSI | |
| BLAKELY, SO | KOLOFF, TAYLOR & | ZAFMAN LLP | | |
| Seventh Floor | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 12400 Wilshire Boulevard | | | 2835 | |
| Los Angeles, C | A 90025-1026 | | DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Advisory Action | 09/752,245 | GHOSH, PROSENJIT | |
| , idineen y , iodean | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Yean-Hsi Chang | 2835 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application are applications. | ation. A proper reply to a | l |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai | unt of the fee. The appropriate exteoriginally set in the final Office action | ension n; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying | the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancelli | ng a corresponding number of f | nally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: Independent claims 1, 19 and 24 are ame | ended with new issues . | | |
| $3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): the rejection to claims 12 | -14 will be withdrawn. | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendme | ent |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | reconsideration has been consi | dered but does NOT place th | ie |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: 12-14. | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 4. | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,9-11,17,19,22,24,26 and 28</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)□ approved or b)□ disapp | roved by the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | |
| 10. ☐ Other: | | | |
| | | DARREN SCHUBERG VISORY PATENT EXAMINER | |
| | TEC | HNOLDGY CENTER 2800 | |